

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 4-9-25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
Krzysztof Pierscieniak	2071-33-3-06-031	2023	\$1,089,900	\$1,020,000
*M-3				
Stephen & Mary A. Conner	2077-11-3-05-024	2023	\$2,523,500	\$2,450,000
M-3				
Christopher Westberry	2075-31-4-04-002	2023	\$1,753,400	\$1,575,000
M-3				
Bradley & Teri Thompson	1975-20-1-17-008	2023	\$536,800	\$482,300
*M-6				
Myles Jorgensen	1975-19-3-18-097	2022	\$248,000	\$211,600
*M-6				
Aweida Saed	2073-14-1-17-018	2023	\$588,700	\$588,700
*M-1				
Yekalo Weldehiwet	1975-17-1-26-018	2023	\$694,800	\$694,800
M-1				
Julie Christman	2073-32-2-21-026	2022	\$447,800	\$447,800
M-4	2073-32-2-21-026	2023	\$632,200	\$632,200
Firas Alfayadh	1977-07-1-12-002	2023	\$682,900	\$682,900
*M-4				

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 4-9-25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
Jeffrey Gilliam	2073-15-2-35-010	2023	\$658,600	\$658,600
M-4				
Sarah Siebert	2073-13-2-15-040	2023	\$543,900	\$543,900
M-4				
Miodrag Budisa	1971-34-1-05-021	2022	\$381,400	\$381,400
*M-7	1971-34-1-05-021	2023	\$560,100	\$560,100
Paul Muwwakki	1975-33-2-29-005	2023	\$506,100	\$506,100
*M-10	1975-33-2-29-005	2022	\$342,400	\$342,400
REASON CODES				
M1. I considered the evidence submitted & testimony given by both the Assessor & the petitioner/agent at this hearing. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination of value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				
M3. I considered the evidence submitted & testimony given by both the Assessor & the petitioner/agent at this hearing. I find in this case that this adjusted value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 4-9-25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
M4. Petitioner/agent did not appear for hearing. I considered the evidence submitted & testimony given by the Assessor, & the evidence submitted by petitioner/agent prior to this hearing. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination of value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				
M6. Petitioner/agent did not appear for hearing. I considered the evidence submitted & testimony given by the Assessor and the evidence submitted by petitioner/agent with the original petition. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination and or recommendation of value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				
M7. Petitioner/agent did not appear for hearing. Petitioner/agent did not submit any evidence to this hearing, or with the original petition, to support the abatement request. I considered the evidence submitted & the testimony given by the Assessor, and the absolute lack of any evidence submitted by the petitioner/agent. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination of value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				
M10. Petitioner/agent voluntarily withdrew this petition prior to the hearing. Withdrawal of the petition is hereby accepted as final action by the Board of County Commissioners. Therefore, the final actual value of this parcel for <u>XXXX</u> is \$ <u>X,XXX,XXX,XXX</u> .				
M25. Petitioner/agent requested an administrative denial.				