



Carrie Warren-Gully, District 1
Jessica Campbell, District 2
Jeff Baker, Chair Pro Tem, District 3
Leslie Summey, Chair, District 4
Rhonda Fields, District 5

Arapahoe County Board of County Commissioners Study Session Meeting Minute Summaries

Tuesday, January 13, 2026
1:00 PM

Administration Building
5334 S. Prince St.
Littleton, CO 80120
West Hearing Room

The Arapahoe County Board of Commissioners typically holds weekly study sessions on Monday and Tuesday. Study sessions (except for executive sessions) are open to the public and agendas are available online at arapahoe.legistar.com. Meetings marked with an asterisk () can be attended virtually via arapahoe.legistar.com while non-asterisked (*) sessions are open to in-person attendance only. The members of the Board of County Commissioners may choose to attend study sessions virtually.*

The Board of County Commissioners may go into executive session during or at the conclusion of a study session or administrative meeting as necessary to receive legal advice or discuss other confidential matters, and if they do so, the public will be excluded from that portion of the meeting. The Board may alter the times of the meetings throughout the day, as well as cancel or reschedule noticed meetings. Contact the Commissioners' Office at 303 795 4630 or kdavis2@arapahoegov.com with questions about the agenda.

Arapahoe County is committed to making its public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. If you need special accommodations, please contact the Commissioners' Office at 303 795 4630 or Relay Colorado 711 at least 3 days in advance to make arrangements.

Commissioners Present	Others Present
Carrie Warren-Gully	Ron Carl
Jeff Baker	John Christofferson
Jessica Campbell	Michelle Halstead
Leslie Summey	Cooney Sarracino
Rhonda Fields	Callie Pecore
	Philip Savino
	Gretchen Ricehill
	Loretta Daniel
	Johnnie Turnidge
	Ryan Brackley

STUDY SESSION TOPICS

1:00 PM *Waiver per the Purchasing Policies for a Contract with Countywide Content Management System (CMS) and Related Services - Granicus LLC

Attachments: [Board Summary Report](#)
[Waiver per the Purchasing Policies](#)

The purpose of this study session was to request approval from the Board of County Commissioners for a waiver per the Arapahoe County Purchasing Policies to authorize the County to enter into a contract with Granicus LLC for a new Content Management System (CMS) and associated implementation and maintenance services. Staff sought BOCC direction to proceed with awarding the CMS project to Granicus under this waiver, based on operational need, the underperformance of the previously awarded vendor, and strategic alignment with County Information Technology's contractor consolidation priorities.

A background of the request was presented.

Staff provided further information regarding the waiver request and the Arapahoe County Purchasing policies.

Staff recognized the relationship with Granicus LLC and informed BOCC that terms and conditions were already in place.

Commissioner asked staff regarding the different contractors.

Commissioner asked regarding the advantages and disadvantages of the different contractors.

Commissioner made note surrounding the current CMS and the issues with editing and transparency. Commissioner recommended moving forward with waiver.

5-0 in favor of waiver.

1:15 PM *Strasburg Subarea Plan Update

Attachments: [Board Summary Report](#)
[AC Decision Support Framework](#)
[Presentation](#)
[Strasburg Plan and Appendices](#)

The purpose of this study session was to provide an overview of the planning process for updating the 2002 Strasburg Plan. The update project was initiated and led by a planning team from Adams County with input and participation from Arapahoe County Public Works and Development staff. The updated Plan, which was written and designed by Adams County planning staff, provides an amended vision for the future of Strasburg as well as reevaluating land use, transportation and roadway improvements, and economic development strategies, among other topics. The updated Strasburg Subarea Plan will provide guidance to staff and County officials on a range of policy topics over the next 25 years.

A PowerPoint presentation was presented, a copy of which has been retained for the record.

The purpose of the Strasburg Subarea Plan Update was presented. The goal of the updated plan was to ensure the plan is current and relevant.

A map showing the planning area was displayed. The planning horizon spans 25 years to 2050.

Growth projections were shared, and line graphs were displayed showing the number of households in the planning area and the total population from 2010-2050.

The objectives were listed and discussed. These included creating a community-based vision, building upon the community's assets and opportunities, providing a range of feasible growth projections to 2050, revising the future land use map, providing goals, policies, and actions that reflect the visions for the community, and identifying implementation actions.

Commissioner asked staff regarding their theories on why growth is being seen more within the I-25 corridor versus the I-70 corridor. Discussion was held surrounding the growth and potential reasonings.

The Strasburg Subarea Plan Update process was presented in four phases. Phase 1-existing conditions analysis, Phase 2-ideas and options, Phase 3-draft plan and development, and Phase 4-approval process.

The plan organization was comprised in three parts. These included the introduction, Strasburg plan 2050, and the implementation.

The Strasburg Plan 2050 and its five sections within the updated plan were shared in detail. These sections included Section 1-Land Use and Housing, Section 2-East Colfax Ave Design, Section 3-Transportation and Mobility, Section 4-Economic Development, and Section 5-Cultural and Historical Resources. Images and maps were displayed surrounding the goals within each of the sections.

The implementation process and next steps were reviewed and discussed.

Commissioner asked staff regarding the community's wishes to grow.

Commissioner asked regarding Arapahoe County's role in interacting with CDOT regarding the repairs on Colfax Avenue in Strasburg.

Commissioner clarified if Strasburg is in the enterprise zone.

Commissioner asked staff regarding the collaboration between Adams County and Arapahoe County as it pertains to the Strasburg Subarea Plan Update.

Discussion was held surrounding the tactics within the strategies and the responsibilities if plan is approved. Commissioner asked who/where the responsibilities would fall and how legally binding the plan would be.

Commissioner voiced support for the approval of the plan update. Commissioner noted that it is a visionary document and that it can still be adjusted as the plan moves forward.

Commissioner asked for clarification on what percentage of Strasburg is located within Arapahoe County.

Presentation was for informational purpose and concluded without vote.

2:00 PM *CIRT Overview

Attachments: [Board Summary Report](#)
[Presentation](#)

The purpose of this study session was to provide an overview of the Crisis Incident Response Team which includes staff from the Sheriff's Office and 18th Judicial District Attorney's Office.

A PowerPoint presentation was presented, a copy of which has been retained for the record.

Staff was introduced and an overview of the Crisis Incident Response Team (CIRT) was presented.

The implementation of CIRT was discussed. CIRT was implemented to ensure independent, thorough, and transparent investigations following critical incidents involving law enforcement. These include Officer-involved shootings, in-custody deaths, and other critical uses of force resulting in serious bodily injury or death.

The different agencies that CIRT are composed of were listed and reviewed. These included Aurora Police Department, Arapahoe County Sheriff's Office, Littleton Police Department, Englewood Police Department, Greenwood Village Police Department, Glendale Police Department, and the 18th Judicial District Investigations Unit.

The process for CIRT investigations was reviewed and discussed.

Commissioner asked for clarification regarding the type of evidence that would suggest possible criminal conduct.

Discussion was held regarding legal definitions and processes taken during investigative interviews.

Commissioner asked regarding the communication protocols throughout agencies during an investigation.

Further discussion was held surrounding the process during an active crime scene and what party is responsible for protecting evidence. Discussion was also made regarding what party is responsible for collecting deceased persons from the scene and when that is allowed.

Commissioner made comment regarding a circumstance within Arapahoe County that had occurred leaving a deceased person on scene for multiple hours and noted that the Coroner's Office was not only handling other calls, but that there was a delay with notifying the Coroner's Office of the situation.

Discussion was held regarding the roles for the CIRT investigators. CIRT investigators gather objective evidence and document the facts of the use of force incident. CIRT investigators do not evaluate or critique an agency's internal policies, procedures, or training standards. CIRT does not offer opinions or legal conclusions regarding whether an officer's actions were justified. Once CIRT roles are complete, the findings are provided to the 18th Judicial District Attorney's Office who then reviews the evidence and makes the final legal determinations.

CIRT process was put in place to promote transparency, accountability, and community trust.

Staff discussed the final processes for the 18th Judicial DA Office after an event occurs.

Commissioner asked if there is a standard for leave of absences and if there is a protocol in place regarding the transition allowing an officer back to full duty. Commissioner also asked regarding mental health services available for officers returning to duty.

Commissioner asked staff regarding the collaboration between the different agencies within CIRT.

Commissioner asked about training requirements for investigators.

Presentation was for informational purpose and concluded without vote.

The meeting was adjourned.

3:00 PM Administrative Meeting - Final Review with Directors

***Virtual/Streamed**