

Finalizing Draft Regulations for Short-Term Rentals

BOCC Study Session April 14, 2025

Presenter: Roy W. Rimer, Zoning Inspector





Agenda

- Topics discussed at the January 28 Study Session and additional research specifically requested by the Board:
 - o Licenses and ownership
 - \circ Parking
 - Housing types
 - Home inspections
 - \circ Appeals
 - o Fees
 - o Host Compliance
 - Whole-house STRs and competing applicants
- Staff will request a decision in response to each topic of discussion.





Ownership and License Restrictions

Questions: Do we wish to restrict ownership to natural persons and/or the number of licenses an individual entity may receive?

Background:

- According to SCOTUS, corporations are people and would be afforded the same rights. Other jurisdictions that address this did so through owner occupancy requirements.
- Initial feedback from Commissioners was that owner-occupancy should not be a requirement, which would allow for investment properties.

Options: Requiring proof of owner-occupancy for all or part of the year can effectively limit ownership to natural persons and license numbers. Example: Owner must provide proof of owner-occupancy at least 180 days of the year = 2 licenses max.

Adams	Douglas	Jefferson	Centennial	Aurora
Owner-occupancy minimum 18o days/year	None	None	None	Yes, 1 license

Staff Recommendation: Do not attempt to restrict ownership or the number of licenses unless owner-occupancy will also be required.







Parking

Question: Restrict parking for STRs?

Facts: Most of the complaints received about STRs involved street parking More than half of the jurisdictions contacted for research have parking requirements for off-street parking, ranging from requiring 1 off-street space to 1 per bedroom.

Options: No requirement or require a specific number of spaces and/or a parking plan.

Adams	Douglas	Jefferson	Centennial	Aurora
1 off-street space per unit	Driveway only. Parking plan required.	Minimum 1 off-street space plus one per sleeping room	Minimum of 2 off-street spaces	No traffic abnormal to residential areas

Staff recommendation: Require a minimum of two off-street parking spaces, congruous with neighbor counties.





Housing Types

Question: Restrict STRs in Mobile Home districts or allow them for investment opportunities?

Background: Existing mobile home parks represent a stock of permanent affordable housing. Even with buffering restrictions, allowing STRs in mobile home parks and their respective Zoning districts can result in a substantial number of those units being removed from the permanent housing market. When researching other jurisdictions, no data was found restricting housing types.

Options: Prohibit STRs in the R-M district, restrict them to partial-house STRs to reduce impact, or allow whole-house STRs in the R-M district.

Staff Recommendation: Prohibit short term rentals in the R-M district, unless limitations are imposed on licenses and ownership.



Home Inspections



Background: At the January 28th Study Session, the Commissioners indicated they preferred a Certified Home Inspection for a new license and every 5 calendar years thereafter.

Research: Staff contacted multiple home inspectors for information/feedback:

- Some inspectors did not favor doing "partial" inspections tailored to a limited scope, but indicated that a full inspection would certainly cover the items in the requirements in the draft ordinance.
- Others had no issue with partial inspections.
- Quoted inspection prices ranged from \$150 to \$500

Options: Require only professional inspections every 5 years, or also require self-certification on intervening years.

Staff Recommendation: Require professional inspections for a new license and every 5th year afterward, with self-certifications that the safety features are being maintained each year between.



Appeals of Denial, Suspension, or Revocation Decisions



Background: The Board of County Commissioners indicated that another decision-making body should hear appeals to STR license decisions.

Research: The Board of Adjustment is the only realistic alternative to the BOCC as a body for appeals for denial, revocation, suspension.

The draft STR Ordinance and Land Development code will be revised to reflect the BOA as the deciding authority for appeals.



Updated Fees

Minus Costs)



After discussion at the January 28 study session, the estimates for staff time required for the startup and ongoing management of the program have been increased.

One-Time Startup Costs						
Accela Configuration						\$32,000
ESRI Configuration with Host Compliance						\$1,000
Staff Time Fully-Loaded Costs					\$12,000	
					\$45,000	
Ongoing Costs - Annual						
Host Compliance					\$24,310	
Staff Time Fully-Loaded Costs					\$7,200	
						\$31,510
	<u>Year 1</u>	<u>Year 2</u>	<u>Year 3</u>	<u>Year 4</u>	<u>Year 5</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Revenue	\$60,500	\$43,725	\$45,911	\$48,207	\$50,617	\$248,960
Direct Costs (software)	\$57,310	\$25,526	\$26,802	\$28,142	\$29,549	\$167,328
Indirect Costs (staff time)	\$19,200	\$7,560	\$7,938	\$8,335	\$8,752	\$51,785
Difference (Revenue						



\$29,848

-\$16,010 \$10,640 \$11,171 \$11,730 \$12,317

Updated Fees



Fees have been revised to cover the increase in projected costs, generate additional revenue and will pay for initial costs by the 4th year. The proposed fees are not incongruous with those of other jurisdictions.

- Initial Application Fee: \$200
- Licensing Fee: \$350
- Annual Renewal fee: \$350

Littleton	Douglas	Longmont	Englewood	Aurora
\$200 annual license fee, lodger's tax	1 st Year: \$500 review fee+ \$130 license fee Subsequent Years: \$270 review fee + \$130 license fee	\$100 license fee; \$100 annually, sales and use tax + one-time \$25 processing fee	\$500 submittal fee (\$300 on renewal), \$55 prior to property inspection, \$200 - Due after inspection is approved/prior to issuance of license	City business license (\$48 initial fee; \$27 renewal) and lodger's tax



Host Compliance References



Staff contacted Host Compliance references in the region to receive feedback on their experience, as follows:

- Summit County They are "very happy" with the service and have been with them a long time.
- Larimer County Still in implementation phase
- Jefferson County No response
- Eagle County Still in implementation phase
- Gunnison County Only used by their Finance Dept (for an unrelated tax function)
- Englewood Switched to Granicus over a year ago and are pleased with it.
- Littleton: No response



Existing STR Properties, Conformance and Licensure



- Whole-house rentals have an anti-clustering buffer (min 500ft distance).
- Licenses can be required regardless of existing status.



Implementation



Current Implementation Timeline

- o Board of County Commissioners Study Session: April
- Public outreach: April-May
- o Planning Commission Study Session: June
- Planning Commission Hearing: July
- Purchase Host Compliance and Configuration: August
- Board of County Commissioners Adoption of Regs: August
- Board of County Commissioners Adoption of Fees: August
- o Accela Updates: September
- Notification of New Requirements Sent to Identified Operators: October-November
- Licenses required: January 1, 2026

