## ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 7/8/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
Lindsey Calvert	1973-14-3-23-007	2023	\$226,400	\$212,000
*M-3				
Andrew Cole	2075-17-3-07-002	2023	\$1,895,500	\$1,850,000
M-3	2075-17-3-07-002	2024	\$1,895,500	\$1,850,000
Pamela Murdock Trust Dated September 20, 2010	1973-30-4-16-008	2024	\$744,600	\$609,800
*M-12				
Ralph and Ann Klomp	2075-18-3-08-004	2022	\$4,504,600	\$4,220,000
*M-11				
Saba Properties LLC/Walgreens	2077-03-1-28-002	2022	\$4,256,000	\$3,268,000
*M-20				
Veridia USA LLC	33990-78422-001	2022	\$500,000	\$500,000
*M-1	33990-78422-001	2023	\$500,000	\$500,000
Charles Zerilli	2073-14-1-17-004	2023	\$614,700	\$614,700
*M-4				
Julie Scheffel	2071-20-3-05-008	2023	\$766,400	\$766,400
M-4				
The Christopher G. Michaeledes Trust	2077-36-3-17-138	2024	\$379,700	\$379,700
M-4				·
Sarah Ehmann	1975-20-1-21-010	2022	\$327,700	\$327,700
M-4				
Corin Arreola	1977-07-1-08-001	2022	\$490,100	\$490,100

## ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 7/8/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	<b>Previous Value</b>	<b>New Value</b>
M-4				
HMM Ltd.	1973-23-3-09-022	2022	\$735,000	\$735,000
*M-7	1973-23-3-09-022	2023	\$969,000	\$969,000
Richard Scheffel	2073-27-1-01-004	2022	\$654,000	\$654,000
*M-9				
Charles Lawson	2075-22-2-06-009	2023	\$1,511,400	\$1,511,400
*M-32	2075-22-2-06-009	2024	\$1,511,400	\$1,511,400
Reason Codes				
M1. I considered the evidence submitted and				
testimony given by both the Assessor & the				
petitioner/agent at this hearing. I find in this case that				
the Assessor's determination of value is better				
supported by all the facts presented.				
M3. I considered the evidence submitted and				
testimony given by both the Assessor & the				
petitioner/agent at this hearing. I find in this case that				
this adjusted value is better supported by all of the				
facts presented.				
M4. Petitioner/agent did not appear for the hearing.				
considered the evidence submitted & testimony giver				
by the Assessor, & the evidence submitted by				
petitioner/agent prior to this hearing. I find in this case				
that the Assessor's determination of value is better				
supported by all of the facts presented.				

## ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 7/8/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
M7. Petitioner/agent did not appear for the hearing				
Petitioner/agent did not submit any evidence to this	3			
hearing, or with the original petition, to support the	)			
abatement request. I considered the evidence	)			
submitted & the testimony given by the Assessor, and				
the absolute lack of any evidence submitted by the	)			
petitioner/agent. I find in this case that the Assessor's	3			
determination of value is better supported by all the	)			
facts presented.				
M9. Petitioner/agent voluntarily withdrew this petition				
at the hearing. Withdrawal of the petition is hereby	/			
accepted as final action by the Board of County	/			
Commissioners. Therefore, the final actual value of this	3			
parcel for XXXX is \$X,XXX,XXX,XXX.				
M11. The Assessor recommended & the				
petitioner/agent agreed to this value prior to the				
hearing. Based upon all the information supplied,				
concur with this value.				
M12. The Assessor recommended and the				
petitioner/agent agreed to this value at the hearing				
Based upon all the information supplied, I concur with				
this value.				