| | <u>Septic Systems</u> | The Project is planning to use a |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | ACPH has no objection to the property being served by an OWTS | dedicated Potable Water tank that will |
| | provided that the system is permitted, inspected, and operated in | store required potable water volume to |
| | compliance with ACPH's current OWTD Regulations. Based on the | meet demands and needs of |
| | applicant's description, a permit for the installation and final | employees, this includes for bathroom |
| | approval of the OWTS is required. | facilities and hand washing. |
| | Drinking Water and Monitoring Wells | -The Project will have two (2) |
| | No known monitoring or drinking water wells were identified on the | bathrooms installed in the Controls |
| | property. | Trailer for employees' use. The |
| | | bathrooms will discharge to an onsite |
| | | water treatment system, which will be |
| | | a septic system. The septic system will |
| | | have a septic tank and leach field. The |
| | | septic system will be sized in |
| | | accordance with Arapahoe County |
| | | requirements. |
| Arapahoe County Public Health | | -The Potable Water will be |
| | | periodically filled by a qualified and |
| | | permitted potable water supplier. |
| | | -The use of a Potable Water Storage |
| | | Tank is compliant with Chapter 6 of |
| | | the International Plumbing Code (IPC) |
| | | for a potable water source. The code |
| | | allows the use of a potable cistern for |
| | | the source of potable water to supply |
| | | water to plumbing fixtures, see Sec. P- |
| | | 602.3 Individual Water Supply. A |
| | | potable cistern is an installed container |
| | | that stores and manages potable water |
| | | for onsite use. In this case, the Potable |
| | | Water Storage Tank is the potable |
| | | cistern. |
| | | 015(011). |

| Arapahoe County Building Division | The applicant needs to demonstrate they have permanent water for the employees on-site. | Employees on-site will have a potable water tank for their use. |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| CDPHE | Provided general comments. | Noted. |
| Colorado Geological Survey | No geologic hazards or unusual geotechnical constraints are known or suspected to be present that would preclude approval of Canyon Peak Power project UASI25-001. However, the PLSS information at the top of all the plan set sheets appears to be incorrect residual text from a different project. | Will revise the headers to SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 5S, RANGE 63 WEST. |
| <u>Colorado Natural Gas</u> | The proposed project by Canyon Peak Power LLC is approximately 3.75 miles south of any Colorado Natural Gas facilities. Colorado Natural Gas has no objection to the 150-170 MW power generation facility. | Noted. |
| CORE | CORE Electric Cooperative has no comments. | Noted. |
| Colorado Parks and Wildlife | 1.This project occurs within mapped Pronghorn winter concentration. CPW recommends construction outside of the winter season of January 1- April 30. If this cannot be achieved, CPW recommends starting construction outside of the winter timing to reduce impacts to Pronghorn during this crucial time of year. 2.Burrowing Owls If prairie dogs are present within the project boundaries and initial construction occurs from March 15 to October 31, we recommend completing a Burrowing Owl survey per CPW recommendations. If Burrowing owl nests are present, CPW recommends no activities occur within ¼ mile (1320 feet, 400 meters) of the nest site during the nesting season March 15 through August 31. Although Burrowing Owls may not be actively nesting during this entire period, they may be present at burrows up to a month before egg laying and several months after young have fledged. Therefore, it is recommended that efforts to eradicate prairie dogs or destroy abandoned towns not occur between March 15 and October 31 when | Based on the current permitting and project schedule, Canyon Peak anticipates commencing construction prior to the winter season. However, construction during winter months will be required to meet CORE's in- service date and support the cooperatives transition away from previous power providers. As noted in the Environmental Impact Analysis (EIA), no prairie dog colonies were observed within the project area during site surveys. Although ground nests were not observed on site, Canyon Peak will either avoid work during the migratory bird breeding season |

| | owls may be present. Because nesting Burrowing Owls may not be easily visible, it is recommended that targeted surveys be implemented to determine if burrows are occupied. More detailed recommendations are available in a document entitled "Recommended Survey Protocol and Actions to Protect Nesting Burrowing Owls," which is available from the CPW. 3. Ground Nesting Birds Ground nesting birds may be present around the project site because of the available habitat. CPW recommends avoiding work during the migratory bird breeding season (April 1- August 30). If this cannot be achieved, CPW recommends starting construction outside of the migratory bird breeding season to reduce the likelihood of groundnesting birds from nesting in the project area. 4. Fencing CPW is concerned for the safety of Mule deer, and White-tailed deer in the area for the proposed project. CPW recommends that any installed fencing should be eight feet in height, have round-capped posts (e.g., so wildlife isn't impaled), smooth top wire to the fence (e.g., no top barbed wire) (or if two top strands are needed, ensure they are at least six inches apart). The bottom wire can be barbed but should be four inches or less from the ground. Please see CPW's "Fencing with Wildlife in Mind" brochure for more information. | (from April 1 to August 30) or continually mow during this period to eliminate habitat and prevent impacts on grass/ground-nesting birds that could use the site. 4. Applicant plans to use 8-ft security chain-link fencing around new facility. Fencing and Access Gate details have been added to drawing set - USR Plan Set. After discussions with Colorado Parks and Wildlife, they will allow the applicant's proposed fencing. |
|--|--|---|
| Arapahoe County Road and Bridge | No comments. | Noted. |
| Sheriff – Office of Emergency Management | Fully supportive of this application. | Noted. |
| Sheriff – Public Bureau Chief | No Comment | Noted. |
| Sheriff - Community Resource Unit | No comment | Noted. |
| Bennett-Watkins Fire Rescue | BWFR has no objection to the proposed development, provided that the following requirements and considerations are addressed as part of the development: | Will comply with fire district requirements. |

| • A site-specific wildfire mitigation plan shall be developed and | |
|--|--|
| adhered to for the duration of the use of the site. This plan shall be | |
| developed in accordance with national standards and industry best | |
| practices related to wildfire mitigation. The applicant shall engage | |
| with BWFR related to this site assessment and develop specific | |
| wildfire mitigation strategies to be implemented as a condition of | |
| development. | |
| • The applicant shall ensure the site does not pose an unnecessary | |
| risk to the surrounding community. This shall include, but is not | |
| limited to, ingress and egress on public roadways, setbacks, and | |
| other public safety related concerns. The applicant shall continue | |
| to work with Arapahoe County and BWFR to mitigate and address | |
| ongoing concerns, if applicable. | |
| • The applicant shall provide and maintain/update emergency | |
| contact information, site information, GIS data, and emergency | |
| plans to BWFR and Arapahoe County Office of Emergency | |
| Management to be used in the event of an emergency at the | |
| facility. | |
| • The applicant shall provide a separate plan review submittal | |
| directly to BWFR, including written documentation of the | |
| access/roadway design, site plan, firefighting water supply plans, | |
| wildfire management plan, and any other applicable information | |
| identified. Plan review fees are applicable for this review. The | |
| applicant should contact the district office directly to submit | |
| documents for review and submit fees for plan review. | |
| • BWFR has been engaged directly with the applicant to review | |
| preliminary firefighting water supply plans for the development. | |
| The applicant needs to revise the current water system plans to | |
| ensure an adequate water supply is available on site. Upsizing of | |
| the currently proposed water storage capacity in accordance with | |
| applicable codes and standards will be required. Revised plans | |
| should be submitted to the fire district as part of the plan review | |
| | |

| submittal for approval. Separate fees may apply for additional review and water supply related inspections. BWFR will incur unmet capital costs associated with this new development. To address the needs of this unmet capital cost, the | |
|---|--|
| developer shall coordinate directly with BWFR to submit the applicable impact fees prior to commencement of development. Approval for the project and associated permits cannot be granted | |
| until impact fees are submitted to BWFR. | |

Staff sent referrals to the following agencies and did not receive a response:

- Arapahoe County Assessor-Commercial
- Arapahoe County Public Works Weed Control
- Arapahoe County/Pwd Eng/Traffic Ops
- East Arapahoe County/Advisory Planning Commission
- Reap -I-70 Corridor Regional Advancement Partnership
- Arapahoe County Post Office-Co/Wy
- Deer Trail & East Adams Conservation District
- Centurylink Network Real Estate Department
- Arapahoe County/Sheriff/Crime Prevention Unit